BATTISON WILLIAMS DUPUIS P.O. Box 28006 WRITTEN OPINION OF THE 1795 Henderson Highway INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY WINNIPEG, Manitoba (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Canada, R2G 1P0 Date of mailing 4 May 2006 (04-05-2006) (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below 85149-203 MR International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 07 February 2005 (07-02-2005) 07 February 2006 (07-02-2006) PCT/CA2006/000148 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC: B02B 3/00 (2006.01), C12P 7/06 (2006.01), C11B 1/00 (2006.01), A23D 9/04 (2006.01), B02B 1/02 (2006.01), B01D 21/00 (2006.01), B02B 3/08 (2006.01), B02B 3/04 (2006.01), B02B 1/04 (2006.01) Applicant FWS CONSTRUCTION LTD. ET AL 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: [X] Box No. I Basis of the opinion [] Box No. II Priority [] Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability [] Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention [X] Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability, citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited [] Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application [X] Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Date of completion of this opinion

26 April 2006 (26-04-2006)

Authorized officer

Simon Webster (819) 956-6135

Name and mailing address of the ISA/CA

Canadian Intellectual Property Office Place du Portage I, C114 - 1st Floor, Box PCT

50 Victoria Street Gatineau, Quebec K1A 0C9 Facsimile No.: 001(819)953-2476

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/CA2006/000148

ed
ļ
at in

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/CA2006/000148

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement 1. Statement YES Novelty (N) Claims 1-13 Claims None NO YES Inventive step (IS) Claims 1-13 NO Claims None Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-13 YES Claims None NO

2. Citations and explanations:

D1 - US4189503 (GIGUERE) 19-02-1980

Novelty (N)

Claims 1-13 comply with PCT Article 33(2). D1 is considered the closest prior art. D1 does not disclose a method of fractioning corn comprising tempering, cracking, and threshing the corn kernels followed by separation by size and subsequent large and medium density separation.

Inventive Step (IS)

Claims 1-13 comply with PCT Article 33(3). D1 is considered the closest prior art. D1 does not disclose a method of fractioning corn comprising tempering, cracking, and threshing the corn kernels followed by separation by size and subsequent large and medium density separation. Claims 1-13 are considered to involve an inventive step since, having regard to the prior art, it is not, at the prescribed relevant date, obvious to a person skilled in the art.

Industrial applicability (IA)

The subject matter of claims 1-13 is considered to be industrially applicable and thus fulfills the requirements of PCT Article 33(4).

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/CA2006/000148

Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application	
The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:		
Claims 1, 6, and 7	do not comply with PCT Article 6. The meaning of the expression "germ/pericarp/endosperm" is not clear.	
The description does not comply with PCT Article 5. A statement in an application, such as found on page 3, which incorporates any other document, does not fully describe the invention. Also, any reference to a provisional application, such as found on page 1, should be removed. The PCT does not provide for any reference to provisional applications.		
	~	